

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 06 2007

REMARKS

The Office Action of 09/06/2006 has been carefully considered. In response thereto, the claims have been amended as set forth above. Reconsideration in view of the foregoing amendments and the present remarks is respectfully requested.

Claim 7 was indicated as containing allowable subject matter. Claim 7 has been rewritten in independent form and is now believed to be allowable. Claims 4 and 6 have been canceled.

Claims 1-6 and 9-11 were rejected as being anticipated by Imberg. The claims have been amended to more clearly distinguish over the cited reference. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.


In particular, the claims have been amended to incorporate the feature of claim 4, that the antenna switch is transmission-line-less.

With respect to claim 4, the rejection stated, "Imberg discloses a device, wherein said antenna switch is transmission-line-less (see figs. 1, 2 and 4). Applicant respectfully disagrees.

In Figures 1, 2 and 4 of Imberg, the elements labeled  $\lambda/4$  are transmissions lines. Imberg therefore is not believed to teach or suggest an antenna switch that is transmission-line-less.

Withdrawal of the rejections and allowance of the claims 1-3, 5, and 7-11 is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Michael J. Ure, Reg. 33,089

Dated: 03/06/2007